#### I. Background Information

4

- 1. Course assessed: Police Academy
  - Course Discipline Code and Number: CJT221 Course Title: Law Enforcement Training Division/Department Codes: ATP/PSC
- 2. Semester assessment was conducted (check one):
  - Fall 2012
  - Winter 20\_\_\_\_
  - Spring/Summer 20
- 3. Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply.
  - Portfolio
  - Standardized test
  - Other external certification/licensure exam (specify): M.C.O.L.E.S. Certification Examination
  - Survey
  - Departmental exam
  - Capstone experience (specify):
  - Other (specify):
  - \_ .....
- 4. Have these tools been used before?
  - ⊠ Yes □ No

If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made. None known: The Michigan Commision on Law Enforcement Standards (M.C.O.L.E.S.) creates, administers and scores the police officer certification examination.

5. Indicate the number of students assessed and the total number of students enrolled in the course.

# 14 of 14

6. If all students were not assessed, describe how students were selected for the assessment. (Include your sampling method and rationale.) N/A

### II. Results

- 1. Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment. None
- List each outcome that was assessed for this report exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. (You can copy and paste these from CurricUNET's WR report.)
   "Apply the knowledge and skills required for the M.C.O.L.E.S. police officer certification examination."
- 3. For each outcome that was assessed, indicate the standard of success exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. (You can copy and paste these from CurricUNET's WR report.) The standard of success is: "90 percent of students who complete the course will pass the MCOLES examination by the second try." We exceeded this standard, as 100% passed.
- 4. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment. Indicate the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above and state whether the standard of success was met for each outcome. Eleven students passed on the first attempt and three on the second attempt, exceeding the standard of success.
- Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in the assessment results. (This should be an interpretation of the assessment results described above and a thoughtful analysis of student performance.) Strengths: All passed.

Weaknesses: None known (note: M.C.O.L.E.S. does not release students' scores on the examination).

Approved by the Assessment Committee July 2011

10gged 6/17/13 5g

### III. Changes influenced by assessment results

- 1. If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses. (*If students met all expectations, describe your plan for continuous improvement.*) N/A
- 2. Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change. N/A
  - a. Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - b. Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - d. [] 1<sup>st</sup> Day Handouts Change/rationale:
  - e. Course assignments Change/rationale:
  - f. Course materials (check all that apply)

Textbook
Handouts
Other:

- g. Instructional methods Change/rationale:
- h. Individual lessons & activities Change/rationale:
- 3. What is the timeline for implementing these actions? N/A

#### **IV.** Future plans

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this course.

The results of the examination indicate that we are meeting the M.C.O.L.E.S. learning objectives for all our students.

- 2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments. N/A
- 3. Which outcomes from the master syllabus have been addressed in this report?

If "All", provide the report date for the next full review: January, 2016

Subiiii	ited by:	() $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$
Print:	John Atkinson	Signature 2016 1111 Date: 1 30-13
D	Faculty/Preparer	Sind (1) ( 2) 1-3/1
Print:	Ruth Walsh Department Chair	Signature Date: /- 70 7 -
Print:	Marilyn Donham	Signature Man Dont Date: 1 (31 / 13
-	Dean/Administrator	

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247. *Revised July 2011* 

#### I. Background Information

- 1. Course assessed: Police Academy
  - Course Discipline Code and Number: CJT221 Course Title: Law Enforcement Training Division/Department Codes: CED/PSC
- 2. Semester assessment was conducted (check one):
  - 🛛 Fall 2011
  - Winter 20\_\_\_
  - Spring/Summer 20\_\_\_\_
- 3. Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply.
  - 🗌 Portfolio
  - Standardized test
  - Other external certification/licensure exam (specify): M.C.O.L.E.S. Certification Examination
  - Survey
  - Prompt
  - Departmental exam
  - Capstone experience (specify):
  - Other (specify):
- 4. Have these tools been used before?
  - ⊠ Yes ∏ No
  - If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made. None known: The Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards (M.C.O.L.E.S.) creates, administers and scores the police officer certification examination.
- 5. Indicate the number of students assessed and the total number of students enrolled in the course. Nineteen (19)/Nineteen (19) (CJT221)
- 6. If all students were not assessed, describe how students were selected for the assessment. (Include your sampling method and rationale.) N/A

### **II. Results**

- 1. Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment. None
- List each outcome that was assessed for this report exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. (You can copy and paste these from CurricUNET's WR report.)
   "Apply the knowledge and skills required for the M.C.O.L.E.S. police officer certification examination."
- 3. For each outcome that was assessed, indicate the standard of success exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. (You can copy and paste these from CurricUNET's WR report.) The standard of success is: "90 percent of students who complete the course will pass the MCOLES examination by the second try." We exceeded this standard, as 100% passed.
- 4. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment. Indicate the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above and state whether the standard of success was met for each outcome. Eighteen students passed on the first attempt and one the second attempt, exceeding the standard of success.
- Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in the assessment results. (This should be an interpretation of the assessment results described above and a thoughtful analysis of student performance.) Strengths: All passed.

.

Weaknesses: None known (note: M.C.O.L.E.S. does not release students' scores on the examination).

Approved by the Assessment Committee July 2011

logged 2/9/12 5/1

. ....

### III. Changes influenced by assessment results

- 1. If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses. (If students met all expectations, describe your plan for continuous improvement.) N/A
- 2. Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change.
  - a. Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - b. Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - d. 1<sup>st</sup> Day Handouts Change/rationale:
  - e. Course assignments Change/rationale:
  - f. Course materials (check all that apply)

Textbook
Handouts
Other:

- g. Instructional methods Change/rationale:
- h. Individual lessons & activities Change/rationale:
- 3. What is the timeline for implementing these actions? N/A

### IV. Future plans

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this course.

The results of the examination indicate that we are meeting the M.C.O.L.E.S. learning objectives for all our students.

- 2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments. N/A
- 3. Which outcomes from the master syllabus have been addressed in this report? All X\_\_\_\_\_ Selected \_\_\_\_\_

If "All", provide the rep	ort date for the next full review:	January, 2015
, pro		

If "Selected", provide the report date for remaining outcomes: \_\_\_\_\_ Submitted by:

Subiii	ited by.	$(1 \cap 1 \cap 1)$	
Print:	John Atkinson	Signature A (thomson	Date: 2-7-12
	Faculty/Preparer	X I I I I	1 1
Print:	Ruth Walsh	Signature hallout	Date: 2/8/17
	Department Chair		
Print:	<u>Marilyn Donham</u>	Signature Mart Le	Date: 2-8/12
	Dean/Administrator		

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247. *Revised July 2011* 

### I. Background Information

1. Course assessed:

Course Discipline Code and Number: CJT221 Course Title: Law Enforcement Training Division/Department Codes: MNB/PSC

- 2. Semester assessment was conducted (check one):
  - 🛛 Fall 2008
  - Winter 20\_\_\_
  - Spring/Summer 20
- 3. Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply.
  - Portfolio
  - Standardized test
  - Other external certification/licensure exam (specify): <u>M.C.O.L.E.S. Certification Examination</u>
  - Survey Prompt
  - Departmental exam
  - Capstone experience (specify):
  - Other (specify):
- 4. Have these tools been used before?
  - Yes M.C.O.L.E.S. Certification Examination
  - If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made. None known: The Michigan Commision on Law Enforcement Standards (M.C.O.L.E.S.) creates, administers and scores the police officer certification examination.
- 5. Indicate the number of students assessed/total number of students enrolled in the course. Fifteen (15)/Fifteen (15)
- 6. Describe how students were selected for the assessment. All students who took the examination.

### II. Results

- 1. Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment. None
- 2. List each outcome that was assessed for this report exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. "Apply the knowledge and skills required for the M.C.O.L.E.S. police officer certification examination."
- 3. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment, demonstrating the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above. All students who took the M.C.O.L.E.S. examination passed on their first attempt.
- 4. For each outcome assessed, indicate the standard of success used, and the percentage of students who achieved that level of success. All (100%)
- 5. Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in assessment results. Strengths: All passed
  - Weaknesses: None known (note: M.C.O.L.E.S. does not release students' scores on the examination).

# III. Changes influenced by assessment results

- 1. If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses. N/A
- 2. Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change. None
  - a. 
    Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - b. D Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - d. 1st Day Handouts Change/rationale:
  - e. Course assignments Change/rationale:
  - f. Course materials (check all that apply) Textbook
    - Handouts Other:
  - g. [] Instructional methods Change/rationale:
  - h. 🗌 Individual lessons & activities Change/rationale:
- What is the timeline for implementing these actions? N/A 3.

#### **IV.** Future plans

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this course.

The results of the examination indicate that we are meeting the M.C.O.L.E.S. learning objectives for all our students.

- 2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments. N/A
- 3. Which outcomes from the master syllabus have been addressed in this report? All X Selected

If "All", provide the report date for the next full review:

### January 2012.

If "Selected", provide the report date for remaining outcomes: N/A

Submitted by:

Print: John ATKINSON Signature Date: 3 Faculty/Preparer Print: Rom Wal Signature Date: 3 Department Chair Showa lter Signature  $\mathcal{M}_c$ Print: ∦ Date: Dean/Administrator 1099cd 3/17/09 5 Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247.

Approved by the Assessment Committee 11/08



### I. Background Information

1. Course assessed:

Course Discipline Code and Number: CJT221 Course Title: Law Enforcement Training Division/Department Codes: 15500

- 2. Semester assessment was conducted (check one):
  - 🗌 Fall 20
  - X Winter  $\overline{2006}$
  - Spring/Summer 20
- 3. Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply.
  - Portfolio
  - Standardized test
  - X Other external certification/licensure exam (specify): M.C.O.L.E.S. certification exam

Survey

Prompt

- Departmental exam
- Capstone experience (specify):
- Other (specify):
- 4. Have these tools been used before?
  - <u>X</u>Yes
  - 🗌 No

If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made. *No changes have been made.* 

- 5. Indicate the number of students assessed/total number of students enrolled in the course. 18/18
- 6. Describe how students were selected for the assessment. *All students take this test.*

### **II.** Results

- 1. Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment. *None*
- 2. State each outcome (verbatim) from the master syllabus for the course that was assessed. *See attached sheet taken (verbatim) from the master syllabus.*
- 3. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment, demonstrating the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above. *Please attach a summary of the data collected.*

All 18 students passed the M.C.O.L.E.S. certification examination given on May 30, 2006. We are notified of the results by telephone the day after the exam (no written notice is sent to us by M.C.O.L.E.S.).

- 4. For each outcome assessed, indicate the standard of success used, and the percentage of students who achieved that level of success. *Please attach the rubric/scoring guide used for the assessment.* Our goal is to have 75% of the students pass the M.C.O.L.E.S. certification examination. 100% of the students achieved that result. Note: M.C.O.L.E.S. does not provide the students' scores if they pass with a score of 70% or higher.
- Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in assessment results. Strengths: All students passed the examination.

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247. Approved by the Assessment Committee 10/10/06 1 of 3 L/DB = 2/8/07

### WASHTENAW COMMUNITY COLLEGE

### **COURSE ASSESSMENT REPORT**

Weaknesses: None known. (Note: if a student fails the examination, we are notified of their score and the areas where they performed poorly (e.g. motor vehicle code, first aid, use of force, criminal law, etc.)

## III. Changes influenced by assessment results

If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses. *None were found*.

- 1. Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change.
  - a. Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - b. Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
  - d. [] 1<sup>st</sup> Day Handouts Change/rationale:
  - e. Course assignments Change/rationale:
  - f. Course materials (check all that apply)
    - Textbook
       Handouts
       Other:
  - g. Instructional methods Change/rationale:
  - h. Individual lessons & activities Change/rationale:
- 2. What is the timeline for implementing these actions? N/A

### **IV.** Future plans

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this course.

The goal of each student attending our police academy (CJT 221) is to become a certifiable police officer in the State of Michigan. In order to accomplish this goal they must pass the M.C.O.L.E.S. certification examination which test students on the learning objectives that are provided by M.C.O.L.E.S.

- 2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments. N/A
- Which outcomes from the master syllabus have been addressed in this report? All X Selected \_\_\_\_\_

If "All", provide the report date for the next full review: Winter 2009.

Submitted by:		Dolling		
Name: John	ATKINSON	Atter	Date:	1/3/07
Print/Signature	Mulace	(	_ Date: _	114/07
Dean: Print/Signature	thorn	shouxilter	_Date: _	1/10/27

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247. Approved by the Assessment Committee 10/10/06 2 of 3

. \*