Course Assessment Report Washtenaw Community College

Discipline	cipline Course Number	
Mathematics	094	MTH 094 05/17/2021- Pathways to Math Literacy
College	Division	Department
Math, Science and Engineering Tech		Math & Engineering Studies
Faculty Preparer		Leslie Gilbert
Date of Last Filed Assessment Report		

I. Review previous assessment reports submitted for this course and provide the following information.

1. Was this course previously assessed and if so, when?

Winter 2019	

2. Briefly describe the results of previous assessment report(s).

MTH 094 is not preparing students well to advance to MTH 125 and MTH 160. The course is successfully meeting many of the goals in the master syllabus, but the master syllabus needs changes in focus and topics. This course should prepare students for MTH 125 and MTH 160. However, the required teaching of Excel and the half semester focus on linear, quadratic, and exponential functions makes it difficult to give students a solid foundation for the probability and statistics aspects of MTH 125 and MTH 160 and the set theory aspects of MTH 125. The master syllabus appears to be modeled after the first edition of the selected book, not the goals for the course.

3. Briefly describe the Action Plan/Intended Changes from the previous report(s), when and how changes were implemented.

Starting in Fall 2019, Excel was removed from the course and special emphasis was put on topics that prepare students for future courses. The intention was to follow up with outcome changes, etc. to the master syllabus. However, when COVID hit, this in-person group-based course had to be rapidly converted to a virtual classroom.

II. Assessment Results per Student Learning Outcome

Outcome 1: Analyze numbers and patterns in numbers including estimation, addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, exponents, and percentages in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019
 - Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
 - Number students to be assessed: All students
 - How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric
 - Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
 - Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years below)	SP/SU (indicate years below)
	2021	

2. Provide assessment sample size data in the table below.

# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
174	124

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2021 semester the course mentor received a total of 124 final exams. All exams were used for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All students in MTH 094 that completed the final exam were included in the assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

These exams were taken virtually, with partial credit awarded the same way by the ConnectMath program. This change was made suddenly due to COVID. In addition, rather than being able to determine how many students score 75% or higher, I can only access "item analysis" to see a section's success rate on each question or the cumulative scores. Given this change in the data available to me, I am changing the criteria for success on an outcome from "75% scored 75% or higher" to "the overall student average on related questions were 75% or higher."

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Question 1 was used to assess outcome #1. This question was scored using the ConnectMath automatic partial credit feature due to COVID.

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: Yes

The average score was 95.5% on question 1 related to the first outcome. This far exceeds the proposed standard of 75%. In order to meet this standard students had to correctly complete an application problem involving multiple steps. In assessing this outcome, the majority of students showed their ability to use addition, multiplication, and division in an applied context.

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students showed a strong ability to navigate a problem that required multiple different operations and decision-making about when each operation was needed.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

No need for improvement on this outcome.

Outcome 2: Analyze relationships between numbers, and develop building blocks for functions, as well as basic probability; develop the idea of a variable in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019

- Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
- Number students to be assessed: All students
- How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric
- Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
- Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years below)	SP/SU (indicate years below)
	2021	

# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
174	124

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2021 semester, the course mentor received a total of 124 final exams. All exams were used for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All students in MTH 094 that completed the final exam were included in the assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

These exams were taken virtually, with partial credit awarded the same way by the ConnectMath program. This change was made suddenly due to COVID. In addition, rather than being able to determine how many students score 75% or higher, I can only access "item analysis" to see a section's success rate on each question or the cumulative scores. Given this change in the data available to me, I am changing the criteria for success on an outcome from "75% scored 75% or higher" to "the overall student average on related questions were 75% or higher."

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Questions 2, 3, 18, 19 were used to assess outcome #2. This question was scored using the ConnectMath automatic partial credit feature due to COVID.

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: No

The average score was 73.6% on questions 2, 3, 18, 19 related to the second outcome. This is close to the proposed standard of 75%.

Students are showing strong understanding (86% success) on using a linear equation to solve a problem. Though the success standard was close overall, students are struggling to answer questions on using formulas, as well as mean/median/mode.

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students are showing strong understanding (86% success) on using a linear equation to solve a problem.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

Though the success standard was close overall, students are struggling to answer questions on using formulas, as well as mean/median/mode.

Outcome 3: Apply the concepts involved in linear relationships including slope as a rate of change, and solving problems with linear equations and systems in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019
 - Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
 - Number students to be assessed: All students
 - How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

- Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
- Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years below)	SP/SU (indicate years below)
	2021	

7	# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
	174	124

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2021 semester, the course mentor received a total of 124 final exams. All exams were used for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All students in MTH 094 that completed the final exam were included in the assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

These exams were taken virtually, with partial credit awarded the same way by the ConnectMath program. This change was made suddenly due to COVID. In addition, rather than being able to determine how many students score 75% or higher, I can only access "item analysis" to see a section's success rate on each question or the cumulative scores. Given this change in the data available to me, I am changing the criteria for success on an outcome from "75% scored 75% or higher" to "the overall student average on related questions were 75% or higher."

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Questions 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 were used to assess outcome #3. This question was scored using the ConnectMath automatic partial credit feature due to COVID.

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this

learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: <u>No</u>

The overall average on this outcome was low - only 62%, but student performance varied widely on the different questions.

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students demonstrated a strong understanding of intercepts as well as using tables to understand and compare equations.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

Students struggled to find and interpret slope from a graph, as well as finding slope and y-intercept and writing the equation from a graph. The problem involving systems of equations was extremely low at 16% - far worse than any inperson outcomes had been in the past. That makes me think that our virtual classroom course doesn't spend as much time and emphasis on this topic.

Outcome 4: Apply the concepts of nonlinear relationships including normally distributed data, the Pythagorean Theorem and the distance formula. Develop other nonlinear relationships including quadratic in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019
 - Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
 - o Number students to be assessed: All students
 - How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric
 - Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
 - Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years	SP/SU (indicate years
	below)	below)

2021	
------	--

# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
174	124

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2021 semester, the course mentor received a total of 124 final exams. All exams were used for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All students in MTH 094 that completed the final exam were included in the assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

These exams were taken virtually, with partial credit awarded the same way by the ConnectMath program. This change was made suddenly due to COVID. In addition, rather than being able to determine how many students score 75% or higher, I can only access "item analysis" to see a section's success rate on each question or the cumulative scores. Given this change in the data available to me, I am changing the criteria for success on an outcome from "75% scored 75% or higher" to "the overall student average on related questions were 75% or higher."

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Questions #10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 were used to assess outcome #4. This question was scored using the ConnectMath automatic partial credit feature due to COVID.

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: <u>No</u>

The overall average on this outcome was low - only 64%, but student performance varied widely on the different questions.

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students performed at an average level on interpreting the meaning of quadratic key points, though still far lower than in-person courses previously.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

Students struggled with exponential functions - which was not specifically listed on the objectives for the course but falls under the "non-linear functions" category. Students also struggled with the quadratic formula and the vertex formula.

III. Course Summary and Intended Changes Based on Assessment Results

1. Based on the previous report's Intended Change(s) identified in Section I above, please discuss how effective the changes were in improving student learning.

Since the last course assessment, this course was taken to a virtual classroom setting. This course was designed around groups tackling problems together and building community. It did not translate well to the virtual setting, particularly since these are students in developmental math. Students performed worse in virtually every outcome.

2. Describe your overall impression of how this course is meeting the needs of students. Did the assessment process bring to light anything about student achievement of learning outcomes that surprised you?

As I said in the previous course assessment, MTH 094 is not preparing students well to advance to MTH 125 and MTH 160.

By attempting to be an algebra class that works as a comparison to MTH 097, this course doesn't focus sufficiently on the topics that are really needed for MTH 125 and MTH 160. A "just in time" corequisite support course for MTH 125 is currently being implemented with the hopes that that course will allow students who may have taken MTH 067 to go directly to MTH 125. For students headed to MTH 160, we are considering adjustments to MTH 067 to make that a sufficient prerequisite, or adding a support course to MTH 160 to allow students to skip MTH 097.

MTH 094 also has the inherent flaw that it is a developmental course that doesn't meet the needs of all of the college courses at the next math level. Students who

are headed to MTH 169 or 148 are not prepared for those courses. So, the department has determined that students at this level should take MTH 097 if they are able to go directly to the college level course with a support course.

3. Describe when and how this information, including the action plan, was or will be shared with Departmental Faculty.

MTH 094 has already been discussed at the math department meeting in April 2021. The department agreed to a pause on offering this course and direct students to MTH 097 or to the 125S+125 track beginning Fall 2021.

4.

Intended Change	Description of the change	Rationale	Implementation Date
Intended Change Other: Course will be paused.	MTH 094 has already been discussed at the math department meeting in April 2021. The department agreed to a pause on offering this course	Repeated from above: By attempting to be an algebra class that works as a comparison to MTH 097, this course doesn't focus sufficiently on the topics that are really needed for MTH 125 and MTH 160. A "just in time" corequisite support course for MTH 125 is currently	Date
	and direct students to MTH 097 or to the 125S+125 track beginning Fall 2021.	being implemented with the hopes that that course will allow students who may have taken to go directly to MTH 125. For students headed to MTH 160, we are considering adjustments to MTH 067 to make that a sufficient	

Intended Change(s)

r	
	prerequisite, or
	adding a support
	course to MTH 160
	to allow students to
	skip MTH 097.
	MTH 094 also has
	the inherent flaw
	that it is a
	developmental
	course that doesn't
	meet the needs of
	all of the college
	courses at the next
	math level. Students
	who are headed to
	MTH 169 or 148
	are not prepared for
	those courses. So,
	the department has
	determined that
	students at this level
	should take MTH
	097 if they are able
	to go directly to the
	college level course
	with a support
	course.

- 5. Is there anything that you would like to mention that was not already captured?

6.

III. Attached Files

Assessment Data Section Data (item analysis)

Faculty/Preparer:	Leslie Gilbert	Date: 05/17/2021
Department Chair:	Lisa Manoukian	Date: 06/21/2021
Dean:	Victor Vega	Date: 06/29/2021
Assessment Committee Chair:	Shawn Deron	Date: 09/15/2021

Course Assessment Report Washtenaw Community College

Discipline	Course Number	Title
Mathematics	19 <u>/</u> 1	MTH 094 07/12/2019- Pathways to Math Literacy
Division	Department	Faculty Preparer
Math, Science andMath & EngineeringEngineering TechStudies		Leslie Gilbert
Date of Last Filed Assessment Report		

I. Review previous assessment reports submitted for this course and provide the following information.

1. Was this course previously assessed and if so, when?

No	lo	

- 2. Briefly describe the results of previous assessment report(s).
 - 3.
- 4. Briefly describe the Action Plan/Intended Changes from the previous report(s), when and how changes were implemented.
 - 5.

II. Assessment Results per Student Learning Outcome

Outcome 1: Analyze numbers and patterns in numbers including estimation, addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, exponents, and percentages in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019
 - Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
 - Number students to be assessed: All students
 - How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric

- Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
- Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years below)	SP/SU (indicate years below)
	2019	

ī	# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
	169	58

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2019 semester, the course mentor received a total of 130 final exams. Hand-grading 130 exams would be an overly cumbersome task to get a reasonably accurate picture of the success rate of all MTH094 students, particularly given the long-form questions for this course. In consultation with a member of the math faculty well versed in statistics it was determined that for this group size approximately 30% to 40% of the tests should be blind-graded in order to get a reasonably accurate view of the success rate of this population at the 95% confidence value. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All final exams received (130 in total) were given a unique number. Each course section was counted. Using a random number generator, approximately 45% of each section was randomly selected. The 58 resulting tests matching the random numbers were then blind graded by the course mentor. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Question 1 was used to assess outcome #1. This question was scored using the Mathematics Department rubric (attached).

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: <u>Yes</u>

47 of the 58 randomly selected students (approximately 81%) achieved an average score of 75% or better on outcome #1. This exceeds the proposed standard of 75% of students scoring 70% or higher. In order to meet this standard students had to correctly complete an application problem involving multiple steps. In assessing this outcome the majority of students showed their ability to use addition, multiplication, and division in an applied context.

Although 81% of students met the standard for success which exceeds the standard for this outcome, an improvement could be made. Several students missed a detail of the question that a beverage was consumed twice a day, rather than once. More emphasis on this detail of the question would help clarify how many students demonstrate mastery of the topic.

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students showed strong ability to navigate a problem that required multiple different operations and decision-making about when each operation was needed.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

Although 81% of students met the standard for success which exceeds the standard for this outcome, an improvement could be made. Several students missed a detail of the question that a beverage was consumed twice a day, rather than once. More emphasis on this detail of the question would help clarify how many students demonstrate mastery of the topic.

Outcome 2: Analyze relationships between numbers, and develop building blocks for functions, as well as basic probability; develop the idea of a variable in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019

- Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
- Number students to be assessed: All students
- How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric
- Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
- Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years below)	SP/SU (indicate years below)
	2019	

# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
169	58

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2019 semester, the course mentor received a total of 130 final exams. Hand-grading 130 exams would be an overly cumbersome task to get a reasonably accurate picture of the success rate of all MTH094 students, particularly given the long-form questions for this course. In consultation with a member of the math faculty well versed in statistics it was determined that for this group size approximately 30% to 40% of the tests should be blind-graded in order to get a reasonably accurate view of the success rate of this population at the 95% confidence value. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All final exams received (130 in total) were given a unique number. Each course section was counted. Using a random number generator, approximately 45% of each section was randomly selected. The 58 resulting tests matching the random numbers were then blind-graded by the course mentor. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Questions 2 and 3 were used to assess outcome #2. These questions were scored using the Mathematics Department rubric (attached).

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: Yes

44 of the 58 randomly selected students (approximately 76%) achieved an average score of 70% or better on outcome #2. This exceeds the standard of 75% of students scoring 75% or higher. This outcome involves two quite different topics: probability and variables/formulas. This organization stems from the book organization, not a logical grouping of learning outcomes. I propose re-organizing the master syllabus to group probability and statistics topics together in one outcome.

The outcome was assessed with one question on probability (Q2- where students scored an average of 69%) and one question on formula use (Q3 - where students scored an average of 89%).

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students are showing strong understanding (89% success) of the meaning of a variable and how to use formulas to answer questions.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

Though the success standard was exceeded overall, students are struggling to answer questions relating to probability (69% success). That information indicates that the probability study in the course should be improved. We currently spend approximately 1-2 course hours on this topic. I will propose changes to this time frame and focus in the action plan at the end of this report.

Outcome 3: Apply the concepts involved in linear relationships including slope as a rate of change, and solving problems with linear equations and systems in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019
 - Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
 - Number students to be assessed: All students
 - How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric
 - Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
 - Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years below)	SP/SU (indicate years below)
	2019	

# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
169	58

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2019 semester, the course mentor received a total of 130 final exams. Hand-grading 130 exams would be an overly cumbersome task to get a reasonably accurate picture of the success rate of all MTH094 students, particularly given the long-form questions for this course. In consultation with a member of the math faculty well versed in statistics it was determined that for this group size approximately 30% to 40% of the tests should be blind-graded in order to get a reasonably accurate view of the success rate of this population at the 95% confidence value. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All final exams received (130 in total) were given a unique number. Each course section was counted. Using a random number generator, approximately 45% of

each section was randomly selected. The 58 resulting tests matching the random numbers were then blind-graded by the course mentor. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Questions 4, 5, and 6 were used to assess outcome #3. These questions were scored using the Mathematics Department rubric (attached).

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: Yes

49 of the 58 randomly selected students (approximately 84%) achieved an average score of 75% or better on outcome #3. This exceeds the proposed standard of 75% of students scoring 75% or higher. In order to meet this standard students had to demonstrate understanding linear relationships, including the concepts of slope and y-intercepts and how to write and use linear equations in applied contexts.

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students demonstrated a strong understanding of the meaning of slope and yintercepts, linear numerical patterns in data tables, and graphing lines. Students successfully wrote linear equations to represent real-world situations.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

Students demonstrated difficulty in how to algebraically solve a system of equations, but were able to solve from a table and interpret the results. I plan to revisit the master syllabus as well as the courses requiring MTH 094 to determine the need for this topic in the course. If it is necessary to keep, the topic should get more time and support materials. If this topic is not needed, I will eliminate it from the master syllabus.

Outcome 4: Apply the concepts of nonlinear relationships including normally distributed data, the Pythagorean Theorem and the distance formula. Develop other nonlinear relationships including quadratic in applied context.

- Assessment Plan
 - Assessment Tool: Final Exam
 - Assessment Date: Winter 2019
 - Course section(s)/other population: At least 2/3 of the sections randomly selected
 - Number students to be assessed: All students
 - How the assessment will be scored: Departmentally-developed rubric
 - Standard of success to be used for this assessment: 75% of the students will earn 75% of the points or higher on each question
 - Who will score and analyze the data: Math faculty
- 1. Indicate the Semester(s) and year(s) assessment data were collected for this report.

Fall (indicate years below)	Winter (indicate years below)	SP/SU (indicate years below)
	2019	

# of students enrolled	# of students assessed
169	58

3. If the number of students assessed differs from the number of students enrolled, please explain why all enrolled students were not assessed, e.g. absence, withdrawal, or did not complete activity.

At the end of the Winter 2019 semester, the course mentor received a total of 130 final exams. Hand-grading 130 exams would be an overly cumbersome task to get a reasonably accurate picture of the success rate of all MTH094 students, particularly given the long-form questions for this course. In consultation with a member of the math faculty well versed in statistics it was determined that for this group size approximately 30% to 40% of the tests should be blind-graded in order to get a reasonably accurate view of the success rate of this population at the 95% confidence value. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

4. Describe how students from all populations (day students on campus, DL, MM, evening, extension center sites, etc.) were included in the assessment based on your selection criteria.

All final exams received (130 in total) were given a unique number. Each course section was counted. Using a random number generator, approximately 45% of

each section was randomly selected. The 58 resulting tests matching the random numbers were then blind-graded by the course mentor. Changes will be made to the master syllabus to indicate the change in sampling required for assessment.

5. Describe the process used to assess this outcome. Include a brief description of this tool and how it was scored.

A common final exam was used to assess all outcomes. Questions 7, 8, 9, and 10 were used to assess outcome #4. These questions were scored using the Mathematics Department rubric (attached).

6. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for this outcome and tool during the course assessment. Discuss the extent to which students achieved this learning outcome and indicate whether the standard of success was met for this outcome and tool.

Met Standard of Success: No

29 of the 58 randomly selected students (approximately 50%) achieved an average score of 75% or better on outcome #4. These students were able to correctly label and use the empirical rule for the normal distribution (Q7), solve an applied problem using the Pythagorean Theorem (Q8), analyze a quadratic graph (Q9), and use the quadratic formula and the vertex formula to answer questions in an applied context (Q10).

7. Based on your interpretation of the assessment results, describe the areas of strength in student achievement of this learning outcome.

Students performed very well on question 9 (Q9), with 90% successfully analyzing a quadratic graph, interpreting the meaning of intercepts and using the maximum value to answer questions.

8. Based on your analysis of student performance, discuss the areas in which student achievement of this learning outcome could be improved. If student met standard of success, you may wish to identify your plans for continuous improvement.

Students struggled to work with the empirical rule in Q7, with 61% average success on the problem. Much like the probability topic, this subject needs more time and focus in the course and should be organized in the master syllabus as an outcome aligned with other statistics topics. I will propose changes to this time-frame and focus in the action plan at the end of this report.

Students struggled to use the Pythagorean theorem to solve a problem in Q8, with 63% average success on the problem. In an effort to make the course less "crammed" and allow space for topics that prepare students for MTH 125 and MTH 160, I plan to revisit the master syllabus as well as the courses requiring MTH 094 to determine the need for this topic in the course. If it is necessary to

keep, the topic should get more time and support materials. If this topic is not needed, I will eliminate it from the master syllabus.

Students struggled to correctly use the quadratic and vertex formulas in Q10, with 53% average success on the problem. This subject needs more time and focus in the course, as well as more support for students using complex formulas and correctly using their calculators. I will propose changes to this time-frame and focus in the action plan at the end of this report.

III. Course Summary and Intended Changes Based on Assessment Results

1. Based on the previous report's Intended Change(s) identified in Section I above, please discuss how effective the changes were in improving student learning.

Not applicable because this is the first course assessment.

2. Describe your overall impression of how this course is meeting the needs of students. Did the assessment process bring to light anything about student achievement of learning outcomes that surprised you?

MTH 094 is not preparing students well to advance to MTH 125 and MTH 160. The course is successfully meeting many of the goals in the master syllabus, but the master syllabus needs changes in focus and topics. This course should prepare students for MTH 125 and MTH 160. However, the required teaching of Excel and the half semester focus on linear, quadratic, and exponential functions makes it difficult to give students a solid foundation for the probability and statistics aspects of MTH 125 and MTH 160 and the set theory aspects of MTH 125. The master syllabus appears to be modeled after the first edition of the selected book, not the goals for the course.

3. Describe when and how this information, including the action plan, was or will be shared with Departmental Faculty.

MTH 094 has already been discussed at the math department meeting in April 2019. The department agreed to a pilot to change the structure of the course in Fall 2019 to topics and focus more fitting the needs of students headed to MTH 125 and MTH 160. The assessment results and action plan for the master syllabus and plan for the pilot will be shared by the course mentor with both full-time and part-time faculty during Fall 2019 in-service meetings.

4.

Intended Change(s)

Intended Change Descripti change	on of the Rationale	Implementation Date
-------------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------

	1		
	The outcomes will be changed to eliminate topics that will not prepare students for MTH 125 and MTH	The master syllabus appears to be modeled after the first edition of the selected book, not the goals for the course. This adds topics that are not necessary to the course and creates a strange grouping of topics under each outcome.	
Outcome Language	In addition, outcomes will be grouped together by mathematical content, not location in text. Outcomes will be grouped together by mathematical content, not location in text. For example, topics relating to probability and statistics will be grouped together in a single outcome, rather than scattered	By organizing outcomes by mathematical content rather than book organization, we can better use assessment tools to determine the strengths and weaknesses of the class.	2020
		better enable students to meet the outcomes for the course.	

		Der aliminations	
		By eliminating	
		topics that are not	
		necessary to	
	The objectives will	preparation for	
	be changed to	MTH 125 and 160,	
	eliminate topics	we free up space for	
	such as how to algebraically solve a system of	deeper work on	
		topics that are	
		necessary, such as	
		probability,	
	equations (Outcome 3) and using	empirical rule,	
Objectives		quadratic formula	2020
	Pythagorean	and vertex	
	theorem to solve	formula. The course	
	problems (Outcome	is currently quite	
	4), that will not	crammed with	
	prepare students for	content. More time	
	MTH 125 and MTH	available to work	
	160 or other courses requiring MTH 094.	with key topics will	
		better enable	
		students to meet the	
		outcomes for the	
		course.	
	Currently, the	The goal of this	
	course description	course is to prepare	
	reads:	students for MTH	
		125 and MTH 160,	
	In this course,	neither of which	
	,	have an Excel	
	about data, numbers		
	and patterns, unit	Microsoft Excel can	
	-	be used to enhance	
	probability,	class time and for	
Other: Change	dimensional	extra credit per	
Course Description (Topics/Excel)	analysis, algebraic	instructor	2020
	equations as a	discretion, but will	
	problem-solving	no longer be a	
	tool, linear and non-	U	
	linear relationships,	-	
	1	this requirement to	
	and the normal	the course takes	
		time away from the	
	Theorem and the	outcomes of the	
	distance formula are		
	also covered.		
	aiso covered.	course goals.	

Γ	11	
	Microsoft Excel is	
	used as a tool for	
	data analysis,	
	calculation and	
	display. It is	
	structured in a non-	
	lecture format.	
	Group work and	
	participation will be	
	required each day of	
	class with problem	
	solving and	
	applications. Short	
	technology	
	assignments will be	
	aligned with each	
	lesson. Successful	
	completion of this	
	course with a	
	minimum grade of	
	"C" will raise your	
	Academic Math	
	level to 3. This	
	course is not	
	intended for those	
	students planning to go on to the	
	precalculus/calculus	
	sequence. Those	
	students should take	
	MTH 097 instead."	
	MIH 097 Instead.	
	Wa proposa ta	
	We propose to	
	update the	
	description of the	
	course to align with	
	the proposed	
	changes in the	
	outcomes for the	
	course, as well and	
	removing the	
	requirement for	
	Microsoft Excel to	
	be used for the	
	course.	

Other: Course material	Emphasize details in questions.	Several students missed a detail in a question for Outcome 1. Additional emphasis on the details of questions would clarify students' mastery of Outcome 1.	2020
---------------------------	------------------------------------	--	------

- 5. Is there anything that you would like to mention that was not already captured?
 - 6.

III. Attached Files

Math Department Scoring Assessment Questions Winter 2019

Faculty/Preparer:	Leslie Gilbert	Date:	07/12/2019
Department Chair:	Lisa Manoukian	Date:	08/12/2019
Dean:	Victor Vega	Date:	09/26/2019
Assessment Committee Chair:	Shawn Deron	Date:	11/08/2019